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#### Abstract

$\left(\eta^{6}\right.$ - Arene $) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes stabilized by bisphosphines, e.g. $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Co}^{( }\left(\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{i}\right)\right]{ }^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$, have been prepared by reacting ( $\eta^{3}$-cyclooctenyl) Co (bisphosphine) species with $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ in the presence of an arene. The ( $\left.\eta^{6}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ compounds can also be prepared by hydrogen abstraction from the corresponding ( $\eta^{5}$-cyclohexadienyl) $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ complex or by hydrogenation of ( $\eta^{3}$-cyclooctenyl)Co(II) species in the presence of benzene. Facile arene-exchange occurs upon treatment of these compounds with a second arene. In contrast, ( $\eta^{3}$-cyclohexenyl) $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ and ( $\eta^{5}$-cycloheptadienyl) $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes are oxidized by $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ in the presence of an alkene to give ( $\eta^{3}$-cyclohexenyl) $\mathrm{Co}($ II $)$ and ( $\eta^{5}$-cycloheptadienyl) $\mathrm{Co}($ II $)$ species: the former have been characterized as their diamagnetic NO adducts and the latter by a crystal structure determination. © 1998 Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

In an earlier publication [1] we reported that treatment of the ( $\eta^{3}$-cyclooctenyl)Co(bisphosphine) complexes with $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ in the presence of an alkene results in the oxidation of the metal to give novel, paramagnetic organocobalt(II) species (Eq. 1).
dienyl) $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes react with hydride-abstraction to give $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \mathrm{Co} \text { (bisphosphine) }\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$species.
( $\eta^{6}$-Arene) $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes are rare; as far as we are aware examples are confined to the tetraphenylborate complex 1 [2] and a series of $\left(\eta^{6}\right.$-arene $)\left(\eta^{4}\right.$-diene $) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ compounds, e.g. 2 [3,4], which moreover react with donor ligands with arene displacement, and we there-


Attempts to extend the scope of this reaction (which is suggested to proceed by homolytic cleavage of a Co-C bond in an intermediate Co-alkyl species) have now shown that whereas the bisphosphine-stabilized $\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-allyl) $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I}),\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-cyclohexenyl) $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\left(\eta^{5}\right.$-cycloheptadienyl) $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ compounds react with $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ in a manner similar to that shown above, the ( $\eta^{5}$-cyclohexa-

[^0]fore decided to explore this class of compound further in anticipation that the bisphosphine stabilized derivatives would act as a convenient source of the electronically and coordinatively unsatuated (bisphosphine)Co (I) fragment for stoichiometric and catalytic reactions.
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## 2. Results and discussion

The ( $\eta^{3}$-cyclohexenyl) $\operatorname{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ complex $\mathbf{4}$, which has been reported previously [5] as the product of the reaction between the ( $\eta^{3}$-cyclohexenyl)Co(I) species $\mathbf{3}$ and the bisphosphine, reacts with $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ in the presence of alkenes such as butadiene with oxidation to give 5 (Eq. 2).
similar to that confirmed by X-ray diffraction for ( $\eta^{5}$-cyclohexadienyl) $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)$ [5]. Treatment of 7 with $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ ( or $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CBF}_{4}$ ) does not result in H -abstraction (to give a ( $\eta^{6}$-cycloheptatriene) $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ species), instead oxidation occurs to give [ $\eta^{5}$-cycloheptadienyl)Co$\left.\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(8)$ and here again, high yields are obtained only if the reaction is carried out in the


The corresponding $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$-stabilized species [5] react similarly. Both compounds react with NO to give diamagnetic adducts (e.g. 6) and their NMR spectra indicate that these have structures similar to that of $\left[\left(\eta^{3} \text {-cyclooctenyl) } \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{NO})\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\right.$ whose crystal structure has been reported [1].


6
We next turned our attention to the ( $\eta^{5}$-cycloheptadienyl)Co(I) species. The appropriate $\operatorname{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ compound 7 was prepared in high yield by reacting the bisphosphinestabilized ( $\eta^{3}$-cyclooctenyl) $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ compound with cycloheptatriene (Eq. 3).


7
The observation of only four signals for the 7 -ring C -atoms of the cycloheptadienyl group in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$

presence of an auxiliary alkene (butadiene). As expected for a low spin $C o(I I)$ species, $\mathbf{8}$ is paramagnetic with a magnetic moment of 1.6 BM (Evans method). The crystal structure has been determined by X-ray diffraction and the molecular structure of the cation is shown in Fig. 1 (the $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ anion is disordered and has been included in the refinement with a $50 \%$ occupancy factor for the F atoms). The two P -atoms and the centre of the pentadienyl fragment adopt a distorted trigonal arrangement around the Co-atom with a plane through the central C -atom and the two P -atoms. The five pentadienyl C-atoms are coplanar and the centre lies $1.574 \AA$ from the metal atom. The $\mathrm{C} 1 / \mathrm{C} 7 / \mathrm{C} 6 / \mathrm{C} 5-$ and the pentadienyl planes form a dihedral angle of $42.1^{\circ}$ to each other.
If the reaction between the ( $\eta^{3}$-cyclooctenyl) $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ species and $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ shown in Eq. 1 is carried out in thepresence of an arene instead of an alkene, the oxidation is suppressed and instead a conventional protonolysis occurs to give a ( $\eta^{6}$-arene) Co(I) species in high yield
$\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-cyclo- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n} \mathrm{PR}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{HBF}_{4}+$ arene $\rightarrow\left[\left(\eta^{6} \text {-arene }\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n} \mathrm{PR}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14}$
spectrum of 7 and a double doublet in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum (AB spin system) indicate that the compound has a symmetrical structure, with a mirror plane through the central C -atom of the ring and the two P -atoms,
(Eq. 4). This reaction has been used to prepare the majority of the compounds



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of $\left[\left(\eta^{5}\right.\right.$-cyclo- $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)\right]+\mathrm{BF} 4-(8)$ showing only the complex cation. Selected bond distances and angles: Co-P1 2.237(1), Co-P2 2.217(1), Co-C1 2.199(4), Co-C2 2.064(5), Co-C3 2.069(5), Co-C4 2.120(5), Co-C5 2.230(5), Co-D1 $1.574 \AA$ A; P1-Co-P2 88.6(1), C1-Co-C5 80.3(2), C2-Co-C4 73.1(2), C3-Co-P1 100.3(1), C1-C2-C3 122.5(5), C2-C3-C4 123.9(4), C3-C4-C5 128.6(5), C4-C5-C6 129.0(5), C1-C7-C6 113.4(4), C7-C6-C5 114.5(5) ${ }^{\circ}$.

These exchange reactions only proceed satisfactorily in acetone suggesting that they are assisted by solvent complexation.

In addition to these two general preparative reactions, individual ( $\eta^{6}$-arene) Co(I) compounds have been prepared by hydride abstraction from the corresponding ( $\eta^{5}$-cyclohexadienyl)Co(I) and by hydrogenation of an $\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-allyl) $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ species in the presence of the arene (Eq.6)


The $\quad\left[\left(\eta^{6} \text {-arene }\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{PR}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-} \quad$ compounds are diamagnetic and their NMR spectroscopic data are collected together in Table 1. A crystal structure analysis of $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)\right]+\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$has confirmed the $\eta^{6}$-complexation of the arene molecule to the Co-atom; unfortunately the presence of disordered solvent molecules in the crystal prevented a satisfactory refinement of the data [6].

The ease with which arene exchange occurs in these compounds suggest that they should act as a convenient source of the $\left[\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n} \mathrm{PR}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Co}\right]^{+}$fragment for stoichiometric and catalytic reactions and indeed they do show catalytic activity for the dimerization of butadiene at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ whereby $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$has the highest activity with cycloocta-1,5-diene as the principal product. This aspect will be pursued further.

## 3. Experimental section

The organocobalt complexes described below are air sensitive and all reactions were carried out in an atmosphere of argon. Samples of $\left[\left(\eta^{3}\right.\right.$-cyclo- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)$ $\left.\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n} \mathrm{PR}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and $\left[\left(\eta^{3}-2-\mathrm{Bu}^{i} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$were prepared by oxidation of the

Table 1
NMR spectroscopic data for $\left[\left(\eta^{6} \text {-arene }\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n}} \mathrm{PR}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and related compounds ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$

| Arene | Bisphosphine | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (arene) | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (arene) | ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$ | 6.36 | 92.1 | $-0.28$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$ | 6.36 | 91.1 | 98.3 |
|  | $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$ | 6.43 | 90.5 | 31.0 |
|  | $\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{i}$ | 6.38 | 92.3 | 107.0 |
|  | $\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{i}$ | $6.44{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 92.1 | 39.3 |
| $\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$ | $2.45(\mathrm{Me}), 6.13(2 / 3), 6.65(4)^{\text {b }}$ | 21.2(Me), 109.3(1), 91.5(2), 89.3(3), 93.2(4) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-0.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$ | $2.55(\mathrm{Me}), 5.95(2), 6.03(3), 6.96(4)$ | 20.9(Me), 90.7(2), 88.5(3), 96.7(4) | 97.2 |
|  | $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $2.55(\mathrm{Me}), 5.95(2), 6.03(3), 6.96(4)$ | 20.9(Me), 113.3(1), 90.7(2), 88.5(3), 96.7(4) | 97.2 |
|  | $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$ | 6.9(br) | - | $29.1{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{i}$ | $2.51(\mathrm{Me}), 5.95(2), 6.03(3), 6.97(4)^{\text {b }}$ | 19.8(Me), 112.0(1), 89.5(2), 87.4(3), 95.6(4) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $106.4{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{\text {if }}$ | $2.56(\mathrm{Me}), 6.0(2 / 3), 6.97(4)$ | 20.7(Me), 90.7(2), 88.4(3), 96.5(4) | 106.5 |
|  | $\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{i}$ | $2.56(\mathrm{Me}), 5.8(2), 5.9(3), 7.0(4)^{\text {b }}$ | $21.8(\mathrm{Me}), 114.6(1), 89.9(2), 87.4(3), 96.6(4)^{\text {b }}$ | $38.3 / 33.5^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1,2-Me ${ }_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}^{\mathrm{g}}$ | $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$ | $2.39(\mathrm{Me}), 5.31(2), 6.77(3)$ | $19.0(\mathrm{Me}), 115.1(1), 94.7(2), 83.6(3)$ | 95.7 |
|  | $\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{i}$ | $2.40(\mathrm{Me}), 5.40(2), 6.69(3)$ | 19.4(Me), 112.2(1), 94.4(2), 84.5(3) | 104.9 |
|  | $\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{i}$ | $2.39(\mathrm{Me}), 4.92(2), 7.16(3)^{\text {b }}$ | 114.4(1), 94.3(2), 81.0(3) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $44.7 / 33.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1,3,5- $\mathrm{Bu}_{3}^{t} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$ | $1.31(\mathrm{Me}), 5.6(2)^{\text {b }}$ | $31.2(\mathrm{Me}), 35.0 / 150.0\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{t}\right), 119.5(2)^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $76.0{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| $\mathrm{Me}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$ | $2.18(\mathrm{Me})^{\text {b }}$ | $16.6(\mathrm{Me}), 131.7^{\text {b }}$ | $75.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| $\mathrm{MeCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{i}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.24(\mathrm{Me}), 4.10\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.97(2), \\ & 6.06(3), 7.04(4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 204.6/48.0( } \left.\mathrm{COCH}_{2}\right), 109.1(1), 90.8(2), 88.1(3), \\ & 96.4(4)^{\mathrm{b}} \end{aligned}$ | 106.2 |
| $\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$ | 3.96 (Me), 6.11/6.19(br) | $56.5(\mathrm{Me}), 89.6(2), 88.3(3), 91.9(4)$ | 0.48 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{i}$ | 6.10/5.97/5.84/5.73, 5.47/2.55(NH) | $76.4(2), 88.4(3), 85.8(4)^{\text {b }}$ | $105.4{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{i}$ | Broad | Broad | 65.63 |
| $\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$ | 6.4(2/3), 6.5(4) | 92.7(2), 90.3(3) | 0.12/-1.37 |




corresponding neutral species [1]. A sample of ( $\eta^{4}$-cy-clo- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right) \mathrm{H}$ was prepared by reacting the corresponding $\left(\eta^{5}\right.$-cyclo- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ species with cyclohexa-1,3-diene as described earlier [5]. The NMR spectrometers used have been listed earlier as have the details of the crystal structure determination [1].

## 3.1. $\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{Cyclo}^{-\mathrm{C}_{6}} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PC} y_{2}\right)(\mathbf{4},[5])$

A sample of $\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-cyclo- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \mathrm{Co}(0.73 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3$ mmol ) [5] and $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}(1.27 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ were stirred in THF ( 20 ml ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The resulting red-brown suspension was evaporated to dryness and the residue dissolved in pentane. The filtered solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give the compound as a red-brown solid. Yield 1.14 g ( $67 \%$ theory). MS: $m / e$
$\mathrm{M}^{+}$. The crystal structure has been established by X-ray diffraction [5].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { 3.2. }\left[\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{Cyclo}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy} y_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sample of $\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-cyclo- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)$ (4) $(1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 1.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in diethyl ether ( 50 $\mathrm{ml})$ and treated at $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with butadiene ( 5 ml ) and ethereal $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}(0.25 \mathrm{ml}, 1.83 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting ochre coloured precipitate was stirred for 3 h at room temperature (r.t.), isolated and recrystallized from acetone/diethyl ether to give the compound as a pink solid. Yield 0.97 g ( $81 \%$ theory). Found: C, 59.3; H, 8.9; Co, 9.2; P, 9.4; B, 1.7; F, 11.5. $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{CoP}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ calc.: C, 59.2; H, 8.9; Co, 9.1; P, 9.5; B, 1.7; F, 11.7\%. IR (KBr): $v 2925 \mathrm{~s}, 2850 \mathrm{~s}, 1450 \mathrm{~s} ; 1055\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)$. MS (ESI): m/e 562 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100 \%\right)$, $481(<5 \%)$. Magn. suscept. ( $\mu_{\mathrm{eff}}$ ): $2.6 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$.

The compound can also be prepared by reacting 4 with $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CBF}_{4}$ (yield $84 \%$ ) whereby the formation of the tritylium dimer and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CH}$ was confirmed spectroscopically (NMR, IR).

## 

A sample of $\left[\left(\eta^{4}\right.\right.$-cyclo- $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{H}$ [5] $(0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in benzene $(7 \mathrm{ml})$ and treated with $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{BF}_{4}(0.09 \mathrm{~g}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 8 h . The resulting pale-beige precipitate was filtered off, washed with toluene and dried under high vacuum. The simultaneous formation of bitropyl in the filtrate was confirmed by IR spectroscopy. Yield 0.17 g ( $84 \%$ theory). Found: C, 59.7; H, 8.7; Co, 9.1; P, 9.3: B, 1.7; F, 11.4. $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{CoP}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ calc.: C, 59.7; H, 9.0; Co, 8.9; P, 9.3;

Table 2
Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ for $\mathbf{8}$ with standard deviations in parentheses

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Co (1) | 0.2050(1) | 0.1247(1) | 0.4410(1) | 0.035(1) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 0.1869(1) | 0.0958(1) | 0.2739(1) | 0.031(1) |
| P (2) | 0.2060(1) | 0.2172(1) | 0.3871(1) | 0.032(1) |
| B(1) | 0.253(1) | $0.3723(4)$ | 0.0522(9) | 0.117(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $0.1506(4)$ | 0.1319(2) | 0.5910(3) | 0.053(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 0.1297(4) | 0.0780(2) | $0.5436(3)$ | 0.053(3) |
| C(3) | $0.2179(5)$ | 0.0439(2) | 0.5157(3) | 0.055(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $0.3310(5)$ | 0.0645(2) | 0.5213(3) | 0.056(3) |
| C(5) | $0.3769(4)$ | 0.1183(3) | 0.5533(4) | 0.058(3) |
| C (6) | $0.3643(5)$ | 0.1542(3) | 0.6461(4) | 0.065(4) |
| C(7) | $0.2533(5)$ | 0.1436(3) | 0.6813(4) | 0.062(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 0.1974(4) | 0.1619(2) | 0.1937(3) | 0.036(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 0.1600(3) | 0.2172(2) | 0.2407(3) | 0.034(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $0.1015(3)$ | $0.2685(2)$ | 0.4257(3) | 0.039(2) |
| C(11) | $0.1398(4)$ | $0.2865(2)$ | $0.5426(4)$ | 0.050(3) |
| C(12) | 0.0542 (5) | 0.3292 (3) | $0.5731(5)$ | 0.065(4) |
| C(13) | -0.0670(5) | 0.3043(3) | 0.5482(5) | 0.073(4) |
| C(14) | -0.1051(4) | 0.2863(3) | 0.4337(4) | 0.063(4) |
| C(15) | -0.0213(4) | 0.2430(2) | 0.4017(4) | 0.049(3) |
| C(16) | 0.3448(3) | 0.2583(2) | 0.4143(3) | 0.037(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 0.4337(4) | 0.2295(2) | $0.3632(4)$ | 0.045(3) |
| C(18) | $0.5505(4)$ | 0.2597(2) | 0.3953(5) | 0.059(3) |
| C(19) | $0.5404(5)$ | 0.3252(2) | 0.3682(5) | 0.061(3) |
| C(20) | 0.4504(4) | 0.3540(2) | 0.4143(5) | 0.055(3) |
| C(21) | $0.3330(4)$ | 0.3235(2) | 0.3837(4) | 0.047(3) |
| C(22) | 0.2916 (39) | 0.0427(2) | 0.2422(3) | 0.036(2) |
| C(23) | 0.2654(4) | 0.0209(2) | $0.1276(4)$ | 0.050(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 0.3516(4) | -0.0266(3) | $0.1125(5)$ | 0.062(4) |
| C(25) | 0.4754(4) | -0.0057(3) | 0.1478 (5) | 0.062(3) |
| C(26) | 0.5012(4) | 0.0168(3) | 0.2604(4) | 0.059(3) |
| C(27) | 0.4157(4) | 0.0652(2) | 0.2717(4) | 0.045(3) |
| C(28) | 0.0430(3) | 0.0651(2) | $0.2125(3)$ | 0.037(2) |
| C(29) | 0.0251(4) | 0.0037(2) | $0.2546(4)$ | 0.044(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | -0.0925(4) | -0.0208(2) | 0.1990(4) | 0.053(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | -0.1892(4) | 0.0192(2) | $0.2116(5)$ | 0.061(3) |
| C(32) | -0.1731(4) | 0.0812(2) | 0.1757(5) | 0.060(3) |
| C(33) | -0.0542(4) | 0.1056(2) | 0.2269(4) | 0.047(3) |

[^1]B, 1.6; F, 11.5\%. IR (KBr): v 2935s, 2846s, 1447s; 1055vs ( $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ ). MS (ESI): m/e 576 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100 \%$ ), 495 ( $5 \%$ ). Magn. suscept. ( $\mu_{\text {eff }}$ ): $2.3 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$.
The same compound can be prepared (yield $83 \%$ ) by reacting $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CBF}_{4}$ in benzene.

## 3.4. $\left[\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{Cyclo}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PC} y_{2}\right)(\mathrm{NO})\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$ (6)

A sample of $\left[\left(\eta^{3} \text {-cyclo- } \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$[5] ( $0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in acetone $(20 \mathrm{ml})$ and treated with $\mathrm{NO}(11.6 \mathrm{ml}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ from a gas burette. The resulting green solution was filtered and evaporated to dryness. The residue was crystallized from acetone/diethyl ether. Yield 0.21 g ( $84 \%$ theory). Found: C, 55.6; H, 8.8; Co, 8.9; N, 1.9; P, 8.8. $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{CoNOP}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ calc.: C, 56.6; H, 8.5; Co, 8.7; N, $2.1 ; \mathrm{P}, 9.1 \%$. IR (KBr): $v$ 2921s, 2850s, 1450s; $1752 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{NO})$; $1053 \mathrm{~s}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)$. MS (ESI): m/e $592\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, $100 \%$ ), 481 ( $<5 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR ( $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone): $\delta 6.18$ (mbr, H-2), 4.37 (mbr, H-1), 2.45 (mbr, H-3a), 2.6-1.0 $\left(\mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR ( $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone): $\delta 95.4(\mathrm{C}-1)$, 81.5/81.3 (C-2), 18.8 (C-4); 36.9, 35.3, 28.8, 28.7, 28.127.6, 27.1-26.6, 26.1, 25.8, $21.7\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-acetone, 188 K$): \delta 84.6$ brs. numbering scheme shown below.




## 3.5. $\left.\left[\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{Cyclo}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PC} y_{2}\right)(\mathrm{NO})\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\right)$

Prepared as a green solid (yield $87 \%$ ) as described above by reacting $\left[\left(\eta^{3}\right.\right.$-cyclo- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$with NO in acetone. Found: C, $56.3 ; \mathrm{H}$, 8.5; Co, 8.4; N, 1.9; P, 8.9. $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{CoNOP}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ calc.: C, $57.2 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.6$; Co, $8.5 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.0 ; \mathrm{P}, 8.9 \%$. IR (KBr): v 2932s, 2854s, 1450s; 1745s (NO), 1055s $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)$. MS (ESI): m/e $606\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100 \%\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone): $\delta 6.28$ (mbr, H-2), 4.53 ( $\mathrm{mbr}, \mathrm{H}-1$ ), 2.32 (mbr, H-3a); 2.5-1.1 (H-3b, $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR ( $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone): $\delta 97.8$ (C-1), 83.7 (C-2), 20.1/19.5 (C-4/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 39.9, 36.5, 29.1, 28.6-28.5, 28.2, 27.7-27.5, 27.327.1, 26.3, 26.0, $17.8\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$-NMR (d ${ }_{6}$-acetone, 188 K ): $\delta 25.4$ brs. numbering scheme see above.

Table 3
$\left[\left(\eta^{6} \text {-Arene }\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n} \mathrm{PR}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{X}^{-}$compounds

| Arene | Bisphosphine | $\mathrm{X}^{-}$ | Preparation (yield $\%)^{\text {a }}$ | Elemental analysis (calc.\%) |  |  |  | MS (m/e, Int. \%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | C | H | Co | P |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | A(91) | 58.9(58.9) | 8.4(8.2) | 9.2(9.3) | 9.8(9.8) | $544\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{H}, 50\right)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | A(93), C(88), D(64) | 58.8(59.5) | 8.5(8.4) | $9.0(9.1)$ | $9.6(9.6)$ | $559\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | A(87), C(80), D(83) | 59.9(60.0) | 8.6(8.6) | 9.1(8.9) | 9.4(9.4) | $570\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-3 \mathrm{H},<5\right)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{i}$ | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{A}(50)$ | 49.5(49.4) | 7.9(7.8) | 12.2(12.1) | 12.7(12.8) | - |
|  | $\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{i}$ | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | A(72) | 50.5(50.4) | 7.8(8.0) | 11.6(11.8) | 12.6(12.4) | 410 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}{ }_{-3 \mathrm{H}, 100)}$ |
| $\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | A(90) | 57.7(59.4) | 8.4(8.4) | $9.1(9.1)$ | $9.6(9.6)$ | $558\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{H}, 40\right)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | A(89) | 59.9(60.0) | 8.6(8.5) | 9.0(8.9) | 9.4(9.4) | 573 ( $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{H}, 15\right)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | D(99) | 57.6(56.5) | 7.9(7.8) | 7.9(8.2) | 8.4(8.6) | Dec. |
|  | $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | A(63) | 57.3(60.5) | 8.7(8.6) | 8.9(8.8) | 9.1(9.2) | $586\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}{ }_{-} \mathrm{H}, 33\right)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{i}$ | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | A(92) | 50.3(50.4) | 8.1(8.0) | 11.9(11.8) | 12.3(12.4) | $500\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ |
| 1,2-Me ${ }_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{i}$ | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{A}(62), \mathrm{B}(94)$ | 51.3(51.2) | 8.4(8.5) | 11.3(11.4) | 12.1(12.0) | - |
| $\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{B}(80)$ | - | - | 9.7(8.9) | 9.1(9.4) | - |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ A: protonolysis of $\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-cyclo- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ species, B: arene-exchange, C: deprotonation of $\left(\eta^{5}\right.$-cyclo- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ species, D: hydrogenation of ( $\eta^{3}$-allyl) $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ species.

## 3.6. $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{Cyclo}-\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)(7)\right.$

A sample of $\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-cyclo- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)$ $(0.81 \mathrm{~g}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $1,3,5-$ cycloheptatriene $(2.0 \mathrm{ml})$ were dissolved in THF ( 5 ml ) and stirred at r.t. for 18 $h$ to give a red-brown suspension (a parallel experiment was successful only after the introduction of hydrogen). The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness and the residue crystallized from THF. Yield 0.73 g ( $86 \%$ theory). The presence of 1,3-cyclooctadiene and cyclooctene in the solvent was confirmed by GC. Found: C, 68.9; H, 9.9; Co, 10.5; P, 10.9. $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{CoP}_{2}$ calc.: C, 69.0; H, 10.0; Co, 10.3; P, 10.8\%. IR (KBr): v $2920 \mathrm{~s}, 2850 \mathrm{~s}, 1440 \mathrm{~s} ; 1000 \mathrm{~s}$. MS (EI, $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): m/e 574 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100 \%\right), 481(<5 \%), 398$ ( $5 \%$ ), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{d}_{8^{-}}\right.$ toluene, 300 K ): $\delta 5.17$ (mbr, H-2), 5.09 (mbr, H-1), 4.26 (mbr, H-3), 2.21 (mbr, H-4a), 1.67 (mbr, H-4b); 2.0-0.9 $\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR $\left(\mathrm{d}_{8}\right.$-toluene, 300 K$): \delta$ 91.6 (C-2), 86.6 (C-1), 71.2 (C-3), 36.8, (C-4); 38.0, 37.8, 29.1-27.7, 27.2. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{d}_{8}\right.$-toluene, 185 K$): \delta 106.5$, $79.4, J$ (A,B) 22.2 numbering scheme shown below.

3.7. $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{Cyclo}-\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PC} y_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$

A sample of $\left(\eta^{5}\right.$-cyclo- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)$ (7)
$(1.43 \mathrm{~g}, 2.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in THF $(20 \mathrm{ml})$ and treated at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with butadiene (ca. 5 ml ) and ethereal $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}(0.33 \mathrm{ml}, 2.41 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was warmed to r.t. and stirred for 12 h . The resulting orange-brown solid was isolated and crystallized from acetone/diethyl ether. Yield $1.47 \mathrm{~g} \quad(92 \%$ theory $)$. Found: C, 59.8; H, 8.7; Co, 8.9; P, 9.6; B, 1.7; F, 11.4. $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{CoP}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ calc.: C, 59.9; H, 8.7; Co, 8.9; P, 9.4; B, 1.6; F, 11.5\%. IR (KBr): v 2930s, 2850s, 1450s; 1054vs $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)$. MS (FAB): m/e 574 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 50 \%$ ). Magn. suscept. $\left(\mu_{\text {eff }}\right): 1.6 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$.

Suitable crystals were grown from chlorobenzene/diethyl ether solution. Crystal structure analysis: molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{CoP}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{4}$, molecular weight 661.51 g $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, crystal colour red, crystal size $0.42 \times 0.32 \times$ $0.18 \mathrm{~mm}, a=11.870(4), b=22.726(4), c=13.023(1) \AA$, $\beta=103.14(1)^{\circ}, V=3421.4 \AA^{3}, T=293 \mathrm{~K}, D_{\text {calc. }} 1.28 \mathrm{~g}$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-3}, \mu=6.33 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, Z=4$, monoclinic $P 2_{1} / n$ (no. 14), Enraf-Nonius CAD 4 diffractometer, $\lambda=0.71069$ $\AA$, scan mode $\omega-2 \theta$, measured reflections $( \pm h, \pm k$, $+l$ ) 8360, 7812 independent reflections, 4410 observed reflections $(I>2 \sigma(I))$ for 366 refined parameters, $R=$ $0.058, R_{w}=0.153$, residual electron density $0.069 \AA^{-3}$. Atomic positional parameters and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters are listed in Table 2 and the molecular structure with selected bond distances and angles is shown in Fig. 1.

## 3.8. $\left[\left(\eta^{6} \text {-Arene }\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n} P R_{2}\right)\right]^{+} X^{-}$compounds

These compounds have been prepared by four different methods (A-D). Examples are described in detail below while the spectroscopic data and elemental analyses for all compounds are collected together in Table 1 and Table 3.
3.8.1. Method A. Protonolysis of an ( $\eta^{3}$-cyclo- $C_{8} H_{13}$ ) Co(I) species
3.8.1.1. $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PC} y_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$. A sample of $\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-cyclo- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)(1.11 \mathrm{~g}, 1.88$ mmol ) was suspended in benzene ( 6 ml ) and treated with ethereal $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}(0.30 \mathrm{ml}, 2.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting red-brown solution was stirred for 2 h at r.t., evaporated to dryness and the residue crystallized from acetone/diethyl ether. Yield 1.13 g ( $93 \%$ theory). Anal.: see Table 3. NMR spectral data: see Table 1.

In addition to the compounds shown in Table 3, the following compounds were prepared as described above for spectroscopic purposes (Table 1): $\left[\left(\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-\right.$ $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{i}\right)^{+}\left[\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}-3,5\right)_{4}\right]^{-},\left[\left(\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-\right.$ $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{i}\right)^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-},\left[\left(1,2-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{i}\right)\right]+\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}, \quad\left[\left(1,3,5-\mathrm{Bu}_{3}^{t} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}-$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-},\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{6}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$.

### 3.8.2. Method B. Arene-exchange

3.8.2.1. $\left[\left(\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$. A sample of $\left[\left(\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-} \quad(0.11 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.17 mmol ) was dissolved in acetone ( 3 ml ) and anisole $(3 \mathrm{ml})$ and stirred at r.t. for 16 h . The resulting orange solution was evaporated to dryness to give the compound as an orange solid. Yield 0.09 g ( $80 \%$ theory).

Anal.: see Table 3. NMR spectral data: see Table 1.
In addition to the compounds shown in Table 3, the following examples were prepared in solution for spectroscopic purposes (Table 1): $\left[\left(\mathrm{MeOCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right.$ $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{i}\right)+\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}, \quad\left[\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{i}\right)^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}, \quad\left[\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPr}_{2}{ }^{i}\right)\right]+\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$, $\left[\left(\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$by reacting the appropriate $\left[\left(\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n} \mathrm{PR}_{2}\right)\right]{ }^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$compound and $\left[\left(\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-},[(1,2-$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{i} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPr}_{2}^{i}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$by reacting the appropriate $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n} \mathrm{PR}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$compound.

In addition to these two principal reactions ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ ), individual examples were also prepared by the procedures described below.

### 3.8.3. Method C. Deprotonation of an <br> ( $\eta^{5}$-cyclo- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ )Co(I) species

3.8.3.1. $\left[\left(C_{6} H_{6}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} P C_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} P C y_{2}\right)\right]^{+} B F_{4}^{-}$. A sample of $\left(\eta^{5}\right.$-cyclo- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right) \quad(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{BF}_{4}(0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene ( 5 ml ) and stirred for 16 h at r.t. The resulting red-brown precipitate was filtered off and crystallized from acetone/diethyl ether. Yield 0.16 g ( $80 \%$ theory). Anal.: see Table 3. NMR spectral data: see Table 1.

Similar results were obtained by reacting $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CBF}_{4}$.

### 3.8.4. Method D. Hydrogenation of an ( $\eta^{3}$-allyl)Co(II) species in the presence of arene

3.8.4.1. $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PC} y_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$. A sample of $\left[\left(\eta^{3}\right.\right.$-cyclo- $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)\right]+\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(0.54 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.80 mmol ) was suspended in benzene ( 3.0 ml ) and the reaction vessel attached to a gas burette filled with hydrogen. Hydrogen absorption ( $17.2 \mathrm{ml}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) led to the formation of a red-brown suspension of the compound which was isolated and crystallized from acetone/diethyl ether. Yield 0.33 g ( $64 \%$ theory). Anal.: see Table 3. NMR spectral data: see Table 1.

### 3.8.5. $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$

A sample of $\left[\left(\eta^{3}-2-\mathrm{Bu}^{i} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in benzene ( 2 ml ) and treated with hydrogen as described above. Yield 0.10 g ( $83 \%$ theory). Anal.: see Table 3. NMR spectral data: see Table 1.

The complex $\left[\left(\eta^{3} \text {-cyclo- } \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$reacts similarly.

### 3.8.6. $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{3}^{-}$

A sample of $\left[\left(\eta^{3} \text {-cyclo- } \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$ $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{3}^{-}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in toluene $(8 \mathrm{ml})$ and the apparatus evacuated and filled with hydrogen ( 20 ml ). The resulting orange solution was stirred for 3 h , evaporated to dryness and the residue washed with ether to give the compound as an orange powder. Yield 0.10 g ( $97 \%$ theory). Anal.: see Table 3. NMR spectral data: see Table 1.
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